

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,623	02/22/2002	Yoichiro Tanaka	219861USOPCT	7766
22850 7	590 03/10/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			SHEIKH, HUMERA N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXA INDICI			1615	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/049,623	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Humera N. Sheikh	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 November 2003.						
,—						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-22 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/049,623

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of the Application

Acknowledgement is made of the receipt of the Amendment and Response/Remarks, both filed 11/24/03.

Claims 1-3 and 5-22 are pending. Claims 3, 5 and 8 have been amended. New claims 10-22 have been added. Claims 1-3 and 5-22 are rejected.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims <u>1-3</u> and <u>5-22</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a mixture, does not reasonably provide enablement for a gel core coated with hydrophobic particles. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Among these factors are: (1) the nature of

Application/Control Number: 10/049,623

Art Unit: 1615

the invention; (2) the state of the prior art; (3) the relative skill of those in the art; (4) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; (5) the breadth of the claims; (6) the amount of direction or guidance presented; (7) the presence or absence of working examples; and (8) the quantity of experimentation necessary. When the above factors are weighed, it is the examiner's position that one skilled in the art could not practice the invention without undue experimentation in an attempt to determine how to effectively provide a gellant with a hydrophobic particle.

#### (1) The nature of the invention:

The nature of the invention is directed to a water-containing powder composition comprising aqueous gel cores coated with hydrophobic particles.

### (2) The state of the prior art:

The prior art teachings provide gel compositions that are *not* coated with hydrophobic materials.

## (3) The relative skill of those in the art

The relative skill of those in the art is high. Research is at a Master's level and beyond, in view of the presence of polymers.

## (4) The predictability or unpredictability of the art

The predictability of the art is high. Prior art formulations recognize gel formulations comprising aqueous mediums. Prior art (US 6,187,842) teaches the use of polymers, gellants, useful for aqueous mediums, for example, in cosmetic applications.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,623

Art Unit: 1615

#### (5) The breadth of the claims

The claims are very broad. The claims permit any gellant (i.e., agar, gelatin, carageenan, gellan gum) coated with hydrophobic particles.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims <u>1-3</u> and <u>5-22</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant claims a gel core coated with hydrophobic particles. The specification recites the combining of twelve (12) ingredients with four (4) other ingredients, followed by freeze-drying to produce a particle. The Specification does not teach a coated gellant with the outer coating being hydrophobic. Applicant mentions at page 17, lines 10-18, hydrophobic particles, but there is insufficient description as to how these particles are coated rather than simply mixed onto and/or mixed with the gellant.

If the sixteen (16) ingredients as exemplified by Example one (1) result in a coated gellant, the Burden is shifted to Applicant to establish that the formulation is <u>not</u> a mixture. In any event, there are no claims drawn to these ingredients that Applicant alleges results in a coated gel.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/049,623

Art Unit: 1615

مي ار ۽ من

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Humera N. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571)

272-0604. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from

7:00A.M. to 4:30P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

hns

March 08, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER